

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
11:08:00	fukawi2	!open-meeting
11:08:00	lord-taco	**** MEETING OPENED AT 11:8:0 BY fukawi2 ****
11:08:20	fukawi2	that's better.... we'll ignore the padding issue on the minutes/seconds
11:08:25	fukawi2	thx triplem
11:08:31		ras0ir joined #ArchServer-meeting
11:08:35	fukawi2	welcome all
11:08:40	fukawi2	especially ras0ir :P
11:08:46	ras0ir	hi :P
11:09:18	fukawi2	thanks for coming -- apologies for the bad times... it seems northern summer/southern winter makes for bad meeting schedules compared to the other way around
11:09:27	fukawi2	but anyway
11:09:52	fukawi2	!topic kernel -- State; Freeze until RC is released?
11:09:52	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'kernel -- State; Freeze until RC is released?'
11:09:56	fukawi2	triplem...
11:10:13	triplem	Yeah, I have just added some information about this in the agenda
11:10:29	triplem	basically we have 2.6.32.16 and it looks okay, at least nobody objected.
11:10:55	faelar_as	What's interesting in .19 compared to .16 ?
11:10:56	triplem	i would probably like to upgrade to 2.6.32.19 (Kreg wrote: are strongly encouraged to upgrade)
11:11:20	triplem	which hints, that there are some security fixes in there.
11:12:10	triplem	so, from my perspective that's it for this topic. any hints, recommendations, questions?
11:12:31	fukawi2	let's bump to .19, and freeze it there until we make RC1
11:12:39	faelar_as	agreed
11:12:42	triplem	+1
11:12:43	ras0ir	+1
11:12:46	fukawi2	done
11:13:22	fukawi2	!action triplem to bump kernel to rel .19 then freeze until AS RC
11:13:22	^ lord-taco	*** ACTION POINT: 'triplem' to follow up: to bump kernel to rel .19 then freeze until AS RC
11:13:46	fukawi2	anything else on the kernel?
11:14:25	fukawi2	I'll take that as a no
11:14:29	fukawi2	!topic Toolchain
11:14:29	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'Toolchain'
11:14:30	faelar_as	Not before the security topic which cover kernel + toolchain + other things
11:14:37	fukawi2	allan....
11:15:07	allanbrokeit	I put a bunch of updates to toolchain related packages in [testing] for a signoff a while back
11:15:25	allanbrokeit	I do not think anything else needs done to it at this point
11:15:57	fukawi2	ok -- forgive my stupid question, but just to clarify, exactly which pkgs are considered 'toolchain'
11:16:46	allanbrokeit	gcc, glibc, binutils, linux-api-headers, and deps (gmp, mpfr)
11:17:16	triplem	could we sign-off these changes right now? or wait for the corresponding agenda topic?
11:17:21	fukawi2	cool -- so where do we stand compared to AL? same toolchain?
11:17:24	allanbrokeit	linux-api-headers should be bumped to .19 too
11:17:37	fukawi2	apart from the headers
11:17:47	allanbrokeit	gcc and glibc are a version behind, but that is a good thing
11:18:00	allanbrokeit	gmp and mpfr are also using more stable versions
11:18:42	fukawi2	sounds 'bleeding edge' enough for me ;)

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
11:18:54	fukawi2	tripleM -> I'm happy with them
11:18:56	faelar_as	Does the toolchain needs to be often update compared to... for example... the kernel ? Or can we keep the same version long enough ?
11:20:08	allanbrokeit	it should not need updated that often as long as you do not use e.g. gcc-4.5.0 releases and wait for 4.5.2 or something like that
11:20:20	allanbrokeit	only crazy distros used gcc-4.5.0...
11:20:26	fukawi2	lol
11:20:43	fukawi2	we would keep the major versions the same for the life of a release wouldn't we?
11:21:10	allanbrokeit	I'd assume so...
11:21:23	fukawi2	sounds good
11:21:36	faelar_as	Yes, kernel+toolchain per release
11:22:42	fukawi2	regarding sign-off, allan has requested... tripleM are you happy to test and post as dev 1 sign-off?
11:22:52	tripleM	yes
11:23:07	fukawi2	!action tripleM and fukawi2 to test and sign-off toolchain
11:23:07	^ lord-taco	*** ACTION POINT: 'tripleM' to follow up: and fukawi2 to test and sign-off toolchain
11:23:24	tripleM	we will have to update linux-api-headers and then the sign-off should take place
11:23:39	fukawi2	ok, well I'll wait until you've done that
11:23:50	fukawi2	anything else for toolchain discussion?
11:23:57	allanbrokeit	tripleM: can you do that as you update the kernel?
11:24:18	tripleM	sure ;-)
11:24:27	tripleM	just wrote it in the agenda under decision
11:24:40	fukawi2	thx tripleM :)
11:25:09	fukawi2	and also big thanks to allan for volunteering to take on the maintenance of the toolchain for us... many hands make light work :D
11:25:28	faelar_as	@ fukawi2 : can we then talk about package sign-off instead of portal/iso ?
11:25:45	faelar_as	Just to stay on similar subject :)
11:26:21	fukawi2	sounds good... in the absence of sbnet, we'll skip the APP, and postpone ISO....
11:26:28	fukawi2	!topic sign-off
11:26:28	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'sign-off'
11:26:49	tripleM	fukawi2: i don't like to skip the APP, we should just postpone it as well.
11:27:02	fukawi2	ok, we'll come back to it then
11:28:03	fukawi2	well to date, we haven't managed to move many packages out of [testing] and into their proper repositories
11:28:40	fukawi2	I'm wondering if it would be worthwhile in the long run to allow the move of packages with only 1 dev sign-off in order to get the repos populated
11:29:14	ras0ir	sounds fine to me
11:29:15	fukawi2	this would help move us closer to releasing an RC, which will make testing easier for users, which should find any problems in the packages
11:29:18	tripleM	this IMHO highly depends on what we would like to reach. for more stability I would stick to two developers.
11:29:37	faelar_as	Yes, I think it's not a good idea per see
11:29:49	faelar_as	But I also think we need a basis (like first RC)
11:29:50	fukawi2	having the [server-core] repo fully populated will make it possible for the ISO to be make 'properly' instead of pointing to [testing]
11:29:51	tripleM	in the short run (for the first release now) we should use just 1 dev
11:30:06	faelar_as	Then people could install testing packages on it, and see if everything is fine

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
11:30:40	fukawi2	we definitely want to have 2 devs for packages that are going into a RELEASED repo, but for packages to be moved to a repo for RC, I think 1 is enough, since part of the point of RC is testing
11:31:39	tripleM	fukawi2: makes sense. +1
11:31:47	ras0ir	+1
11:31:58	faelar_as	Hum...
11:32:11	faelar_as	Do we have (or will we have) something else than RC ?
11:32:17	fukawi2	there would still be the packaging dev to request sign-off, then an additional dev to approve... so there would still be 2 sets of eyes looking at things
11:32:21	faelar_as	Like beta or something ?
11:33:20	fukawi2	well we could go alpha, beta, rc, but I figured it was just as easy to do rc1, rc2, rc3 etc until it's ready
11:34:02	tripleM	and testing is already kind of alpha/beta.
11:34:11	faelar_as	Ok, what comes to my mind is having the 2 sign off for the latest test version, the one just before the release
11:34:25	faelar_as	When things must slow down to ensure stability
11:34:46	faelar_as	For everything before (be it RC1 or alpha/beta) I'm for a 1 sign-off
11:35:27	faelar_as	I don't know if it's clear... :/
11:35:48	allanbrokeit	given most packages have had testing of sorts in Arch Linux first, you are really just signing of that particular build anyway
11:36:08	fukawi2	part of the release process (http://wiki.archserver.org/index.php/Release_Process) is to ensure all bugs due for this release are fixed/closed so as long as testers open bugs for issues they find, everything should be resolved
11:36:10	lord-taco	[ERR] No response from wiki.archserver.org/index.php/Release_Process)
11:36:40	fukawi2	of course, it depends on testers finding all the issues, but there's no gurantee devs will either
11:37:29	ras0ir	i'll keep following CVEs
11:38:33	fukawi2	my concern with your suggestion faelar is how do we know when we're at a the last RC before release, until after the RC has been released, and tested? we would then have to go back and re-test and re-signoff everything
11:39:56	faelar_as	Well, after reading the wiki, I'm definitivly more for a 2 sign-off process before moving anything to the repos
11:40:36	fukawi2	2-sign off? as in the packager and 1 dev, or package and 2 devs?
11:40:42	faelar_as	Testing should be the fast-moving bug-tracking thing, and repos' packages should be fine unless something ugly happens :p
11:40:59	faelar_as	2 devs
11:41:35	tripleM	faelar_as: then we need a strong committment from all devs to sign packages off.
11:41:44	tripleM	up until now, this did not really happen ;-(
11:41:56	fukawi2	what in the wiki makes you say that?
11:42:26	faelar_as	Yes but I thing we will have less packages and less versions to manage than AL
11:43:14	faelar_as	At the same time, I'm all for having a quicker release of that first RC, so I'm not against a one time exception now
11:44:09	allanbrokeit	how about a really bold suggestion... what about no sign-offs until the first RC/alpha? Just get the packages out there in a form people can use and stabilize further from there.
11:44:12	fukawi2	let's go with 1-dev sign-off for redgum RC, 2-dev post-RC, and revisit before spruce
11:44:51	tripleM	+1
11:44:54	faelar_as	+1
11:45:35	ras0ir	+1

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
11:46:02	fukawi2	!action (actually, decision, but there's no ! cmd for that) only 1 dev sign-off required for packages to move them out of [testing] *prior to redgum RC*
11:46:02	^ lord-taco	*** ACTION POINT: '(actually, ' to follow up: decision, but there's no ! cmd for that) only 1 dev sign-off required for packages to move them out of [testing] *prior to redgum RC*
11:46:25	tripleM	who is going to document this in the wiki?
11:46:51	fukawi2	I thought you were updating it? :P
11:47:06	fukawi2	or were you only updating your topic?
11:47:09	tripleM	i am currently updating the agenda.
11:47:17	fukawi2	ok, thx :)
11:47:22	tripleM	i meant the releas process
11:47:49	fukawi2	I will update it
11:48:22	fukawi2	any more input to this discussion?
11:48:43	faelar_as	no
11:48:54	fukawi2	!topic APP
11:48:54	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'APP'
11:49:01	fukawi2	tripleM filling in for sbnet....
11:49:34	tripleM	i just wanted to ask, if this really makes any sense any-more. we haven't seen any real progress for the last 3-5 month.
11:50:13	fukawi2	I agree -- I was really hoping to get an update tonight, but sb is obviously unable to be here :(
11:50:37	fukawi2	he did say he would have a demo/beta up for us not long after the last meeting, but that hasn't happened
11:50:50	tripleM	well, probably we should think about alternatives, and discuss those in the next meeting.
11:50:54	fukawi2	(for those not across the project: http://wiki.archserver.org/index.php/ArchServer_Package_Portal)
11:50:56	lord-taco	http://wiki.archserver.org/index.php/ArchServer_Package_Portal = "ArchServer Package Portal - ArchServer Wiki"
11:51:45	faelar_as	I think it's too huge to be ready on time
11:52:05	faelar_as	So I'm all for a short-term alternative
11:52:21	fukawi2	any suggestions faelar?
11:52:27	tripleM	<i>the short-term alternative could be to stick to the current process, without tool-support.</i>
11:52:58	fukawi2	possibly the best option
11:53:02	tripleM	or we could also try to install the AL AUR stuff?
11:53:11	faelar_as	<i>if that's not convenient enough maybe smaller tools should be developed ?</i>
11:53:19	tripleM	allanbrokeit: any hints on this one?
11:53:21	fukawi2	what do we think are the most important features that we should aim for first?
11:54:47	tripleM	i think: Database record for each package
11:54:53	allanbrokeit	the arch website code is all in a public git repo - it is actually quite good for a developer to keep track of what they need to do
11:55:17	faelar_as	Interface to git repositories - server-core, server-extra and server-community. Read-only, just to get current version and other details from the PKGBUILD. <- exactly what you can have with the git web front-end no ?
11:55:18	fukawi2	it's mysql backed isn't it allan?
11:55:39	allanbrokeit	possibly... :P
11:56:42	tripleM	i am asking for: somebody should look at this stuff and report back in the near future. report on all pros/cons of the different possible solutions
11:56:44	fukawi2	*puts on sysop hat* given the limited resources on hydrogen (esp 1gb ram) I'd like to avoid having to run mysql and postgresql on there

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
11:57:48	tripleM	probably we could migrate this stuff to postgresql.
11:58:02	tripleM	<i>but like said, we need to look at it, right now it is just wild guesses</i>
11:58:07	fukawi2	that would probably take as much effort as writing the APP :P
11:58:15	faelar_as	Plus oracle will sue you soon after winning against Android/Google on java licensing :p
11:58:20	tripleM	fukawi2: possible, but who knows.
11:58:34	fukawi2	let's see if we can get an update from sb ASAP
11:58:40	fukawi2	then go from there
11:58:41	allanbrokeit	just as an insight to what I see on the Arch website: http://allanmcrae.com/images/arch_developer_dashboard.png
11:58:43	lord-taco	http://allanmcrae.com/images/arch_developer_dashboard.png = "allanmcrae.com/images/arch_developer_dashboard.png"
11:59:16	fukawi2	that looks neat allan
11:59:18	fukawi2	nice
11:59:27	tripleM	i like it as well.
12:00:01	tripleM	who is responsible for this one? probably we could ask for some details?
12:00:17	allanbrokeit	Dan/toofishes
12:00:19	tripleM	like e.g. migration to postgresql, if possible and stuff
12:00:57	fukawi2	more difficult would probably be changing it to support named-releases, rather than rolling-release
12:01:11	fukawi2	but it's worth investigating
12:01:48	faelar_as	altering tables with an added "release" field won't do it ?
12:03:07	fukawi2	it'd be great if it could be that simple ;P
12:03:56	fukawi2	let's continue with our manual methods for now, I'll try and get an update from sb (or someone else can if you see him in irc etc) and we'll revisit next meeting once we know more
12:03:57	tripleM	so, first step, is probably to send an mail to ask sb for an update.
12:04:08	faelar_as	Don't know but should not be worst than moving from Mysql to PGsql
12:04:12	tripleM	+1
12:04:19	faelar_as	ok
12:04:28	fukawi2	any thoughts allan?
12:04:41	fukawi2	or +1 from you also?
12:05:01	ras0ir	archweb is a django app isnt it? iirc django has postgresql backend
12:05:17	allanbrokeit	no thoughts from me really...
12:06:06	fukawi2	all good then!
12:06:07	faelar_as	http://www.toofishes.net/blog/archweb-db-schema/
12:06:08	lord-taco	http://www.toofishes.net/blog/archweb-db-schema/ = "toofishes.net - Archweb DB Schema"
12:06:20	faelar_as	"for the archweb Django application that is the face of the Arch Linux website."
12:06:46	fukawi2	ah yes, I remember seeing that post a while back.... crazy hell
12:08:16	fukawi2	I can't see an easy way to incorporate releases into that.... but that's a discussion for another time
12:08:23	fukawi2	!topic Installation ISO
12:08:23	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'Installation ISO'
12:09:07	tripleM	A couple of bugs are still open, and some testing needs to get done on the ISO.
12:09:08	fukawi2	tripleM.... I think we've probably covered anything that could have been talked about here?
12:09:29	fukawi2	once we get [server-core] populated then we will be able to make another ISO
12:09:36	tripleM	other than that, we have covered anything.

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
12:09:38	tripleM	true.
12:09:53	fukawi2	cool cool
12:10:01	tripleM	no additional action required right now.
12:10:04	faelar_as	A quick thing
12:10:20	fukawi2	yes? :)
12:10:23	faelar_as	What bootloader is used for the iso ?
12:10:37	tripleM	isolinux
12:10:50	faelar_as	Ok thank you
12:11:31	fukawi2	isolinux has issues with xen on intel cpu's in HVM guests due to the real-mode emulation issues.... but that's a xen issue, not ours
12:12:07	ras0ir	im using isolinux as bootloader here :P
12:12:35	fukawi2	it does look pretty ;P
12:12:41	faelar_as	I'm using extlinux, I don't know if it's the same thing...
12:12:56	tripleM	right now we do not support USB loading, just CDs. which should be changed somewhen as well.... (after RC).
12:12:59	faelar_as	I'm confused between extlinux syslinux and isolinux :p
12:13:43	fukawi2	let's stick with upstream (AL == isolinux) for simplicity's sake ;P
12:14:01	ras0ir	Faelar_AS: they're part of syslinux :)
12:14:19	ras0ir	fukawi2: +1
12:14:25	faelar_as	+1
12:14:29	tripleM	+1
12:14:33	fukawi2	!topic Security
12:14:33	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'Security'
12:14:35	fukawi2	faelar...
12:15:12	faelar_as	Ok, I don't know if everybody have read the topic on the forum (or the mail)
12:15:40	fukawi2	quick overview for the benefit of the meeting minutes, and those who haven't...? ;)
12:16:08	faelar_as	It looks like AS security can be enhanced if some specific compilation choices are made
12:16:38	faelar_as	From what I understand, it will not break the compatibility between AS and AL
12:16:47	faelar_as	Then downside is a performance hit
12:17:25	fukawi2	do we know how much of a performance impact?
12:17:29	allanbrokeit	for what its worth, I have considered enabling those compiler flags in Arch Linux: http://bugs.archlinux.org/task/18864
12:17:31	lord-taco	http://bugs.archlinux.org/task/18864 = "FS#18864 : Consider enabling GCC's stack-smashing protection (ProPolice, SSP) for all packages"
12:17:33	faelar_as	What I would like to know is if we should take a look into that kind of stuff, and ut it in place in AS
12:17:56	allanbrokeit	~1.3% performance hit on average
12:19:46	faelar_as	does this worth the added security ?
12:20:02	allanbrokeit	it stops buffer overflow exploits
12:20:07	tripleM	CONFIG_CC_STACKPROTECTOR is set in our kernel already as well ;-)
12:20:15	allanbrokeit	so possibly for a server os
12:21:00	fukawi2	the lvm and iptables issues mentioned on the ubuntu wiki concern me
12:21:10	faelar_as	link ?
12:21:17	fukawi2	https://wiki.ubuntu.com/GccSsp
12:21:17	allanbrokeit	that is old though (2006?)
12:21:29	fukawi2	last edited 2008-08-06
12:21:47	allanbrokeit	Fedora and Ubuntu have used this for a while so it should have less issues...

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
12:22:39	allanbrokeit	at worst, you disable the flags for packages that have issues with it
12:22:52	fukawi2	indeed, the ubuntu bug report seems to have it as resolved
12:23:21	allanbrokeit	but I would say this is something to consider early in the development cycle for the redgum+1 release
12:23:52	fukawi2	<i>I agree, since the best option seems to be to enable it in gcc and then selectively disable it</i>
12:24:27	allanbrokeit	by that stage Arch Linux might see it in their CFLAGS...
12:24:34	fukawi2	let's not bite off more than our small team can chew for redgum ;)
12:24:51	faelar_as	ok so hardening for redgum + 1 release ?
12:25:11	fukawi2	faelar -- do you want to raise a feature request in FS for it?
12:25:12	allanbrokeit	+1
12:25:16	tripleM	+1
12:25:19	ras0ir	+1
12:25:46	fukawi2	I'll assign it to allan and set it due for spruce ;P
12:25:58	allanbrokeit	lol
12:26:20	faelar_as	I assigned it to ... ?
12:26:44	fukawi2	actually yeah, I guess you can do it since you have the permissions :P
12:27:05	fukawi2	while we're talking about security, I'll open the can-of-worms and say -1 for selinux
12:27:33	tripleM	i am 0 on this one. no real opinion
12:28:00	faelar_as	SELinux mean more security but less simplicity right ?
12:28:11	faelar_as	(from the end-user point of view)
12:28:27	fukawi2	much less simplicity... I have wasted so many hours of my life due to selinux screwing with things when I'm setting up fedora/centos machines
12:28:35	allanbrokeit	I'd say -1 for selinux given your parent distro does not do it and there is a small team, but note that one user has made a great start to getting it working in the AUR
12:29:04	tripleM	allan: wisely spoken
12:29:10	fukawi2	<i>perhaps in future we can look at including it, but defaulting it to disabled state</i>
12:29:24	fukawi2	but I'm strongly -1 for redgum
12:29:37	ras0ir	-1 from me
12:29:44	fukawi2	is anyone +1?
12:29:53	faelar_as	no, but I have a question
12:29:57	faelar_as	AppArmor :p
12:30:13	faelar_as	What's the difference between it and SELinux ?
12:30:41	fukawi2	never used AA
12:30:54	ras0ir	<i>Faelar_AS: nice article-> http://www.cyberciti.biz/tips/selinux-vs-apparmor-vs-grsecurity.html</i>
12:30:56	lord-taco	[ERR] No response from www.cyberciti.biz/tips/selinux-vs-apparmor-vs-grsecurity.html
12:31:00	fukawi2	I tar it with the same brush as SELinux atm :P
12:31:25	faelar_as	thank you ras0ir
12:31:39	faelar_as	AH while we're on the security topic
12:31:48	faelar_as	I've got a question about mirrors
12:32:09	fukawi2	thx for that ras0ir, I'll read it tomorrow ;)
12:32:11	faelar_as	What can we do to improve the safety of our mirrors ?
12:32:19	fukawi2	in what way?
12:32:25	allanbrokeit	package signing :P
12:32:27	faelar_as	I mean... I own one (archserver.fr)
12:32:59	faelar_as	but someone can hack my server easily since it's a crapy debian installation and I do not monitor it XD
12:33:28	* fukawi2	removes faelar's access to pull updates :P

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
12:33:29	fukawi2	j/k
12:33:38	faelar_as	@ allanbrokeit : patches welcome :p
12:33:55	fukawi2	it's a valid question.... I don't have any immediate sugges- tions other than allan's
12:34:01	fukawi2	@faelar lmao
12:35:09	faelar_as	It would be nice to have some documentation on the topic
12:35:27	fukawi2	it wouldn't be perfect, but we could implement some kind of "quality assurance" script to randomly check packages on the mirrors to make sure they hash correctly
12:35:36	faelar_as	S0mething that non-specialists (aka me) can follow to ensure a minimum security with there mirrors
12:35:59	allanbrokeit	you would only need to download their repodbs and check they are up to date and have the same md5sums
12:36:07	fukawi2	it would be too bandwidth intensive to check all packages on all mirrors, but spot checks might find some issues
12:36:26	allanbrokeit	assuming md5sum are secure enough....
12:36:42	fukawi2	hydrogen generates md5's and sha1's of all packages
12:37:08	faelar_as	checking both then ?
12:37:50	allanbrokeit	the distributed md5sum in the repo dbs should be enough as pacman checks those against the downloaded package
12:37:57	fukawi2	the mirrors would have to constantly regenerate the checksum files for all packages though.... too resource intensive for most mirrors I'd think
12:38:17	fukawi2	good point allan
12:38:43	fukawi2	if a malicious user modified a package, they would have to regenerate the DB file too in order to get the hash to match to keep pacman happy
12:39:10	fukawi2	so if we pulled the db file, compared the md5/sha1 of that to the one on hydrogen, then any differences would set off alarm bells
12:39:26	allanbrokeit	it is not foolproof given md5 collision attacks exist (some- what...) but better than nothing
12:39:41	allanbrokeit	but you could also check the package md5sums within the re- pos
12:39:52	allanbrokeit	repo dbs i mean
12:40:22	fukawi2	if we check both, then it would be sufficient I think.... a md5 AND sha1 collision would be sooo unlikely
12:40:48	faelar_as	that was the idea...
12:41:26	allanbrokeit	sure
12:41:41	fukawi2	!action fukawi2 script a repo integrity script
12:41:41	^ lord-taco	*** ACTION POINT: 'fukawi2' to follow up: script a repo in- tegrity script
12:42:12	allanbrokeit	and hopefully package signing will be finished for redgum+1
12:42:27	fukawi2	lets not hold our breath :P
12:42:52	allanbrokeit	there is a working patchset...
12:42:55	faelar_as	or we'll move to apt-get :p
12:43:39	fukawi2	we will do no such thing!
12:43:48	fukawi2	are you trying to make me vomit? :-o
12:43:57	faelar_as	but pacman is soooo confusing :p
12:44:14	allanbrokeit	it needs a gui!
12:44:27	faelar_as	apt-cache meaninglessaction --uselessfalg whateverpackage- dev is sooo much better !
12:44:56	fukawi2	dpkg --erase Faelar_AS
12:45:07	fukawi2	evil person
12:45:09	fukawi2	bad!
12:45:33	faelar_as	"dpkg --erasing Faelar_AS will autoremove fukawi2 continue ?"
12:45:50	fukawi2	lol
12:46:01	fukawi2	aaaaanyway..... any more security discussion for now?
12:46:34	tripleM	no

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
12:46:40	faelar_as	no
12:46:47	fukawi2	excellent
12:46:49	fukawi2	:)
12:46:52	fukawi2	!topic general business
12:46:52	{ lord-taco	*** NEXT TOPIC: 'general business'
12:46:55	fukawi2	anyone?
12:47:06	allanbrokeit	sure...
12:47:24	fukawi2	no, you can't have a pay rise :P
12:47:27	faelar_as	Hum... for those intrested I can talk about webdesign after other topics
12:47:34	allanbrokeit	getting packages from the staging area to the repos could really use some automation
12:47:40	faelar_as	but for now... brb :p
12:48:10	fukawi2	http://git.archserver.org/?p=dbscripts.git;a=blob;f=staging2repo.sh;h=323227e694b90d22538e49b10738880663acb8f8;hb=HEAD
12:48:12	lord-taco	http://git.archserver.org/?p=dbscripts.git;a=blob;f=staging2repo.sh;h=323227e694b90d22538e49b10738880663acb8f8;hb=HEAD = "ArchServer Project :: Repositories - - dbscripts.git/blob - staging2repo.sh"
12:48:23	fukawi2	is that not working for you?
12:48:30	fukawi2	or do you mean even mroe automation?
12:49:03	allanbrokeit	usage: \$(basename \$0) <release> <repo> <packagefile> [<packagefile> [<packagefile ...>]]"
12:49:07	allanbrokeit	yuck :D
12:49:32	allanbrokeit	I am used to just running /arch/db-testing and everything in staging/testing goes to testing
12:50:02	tripleM	well, thats then staging2testing
12:50:02	faelar_as	back
12:50:30	fukawi2	at minimum we would need to have the argument for the release (eg, redgum)
12:52:01	allanbrokeit	fair enough - I just found it a lot of typing compared to what I normally do
12:52:10	fukawi2	I see your point
12:52:38	fukawi2	that was one of the first scripts I wrote for AS, so yes, there probably are some good optimizations that could be made ;)
12:52:53	tripleM	jowilkin has written some nice aliases, which can help here.
12:53:00	allanbrokeit	but extra typing is motivation not to upload bad packages :P
12:53:25	fukawi2	!action fukawi2 simplify staging2repo script, and symlink to /arch/
12:53:25	^ lord-taco	*** ACTION POINT: 'fukawi2' to follow up: simplify staging2repo script, and symlink to /arch/
12:54:51	fukawi2	will that make you a happy chappy allan? :P
12:55:34	allanbrokeit	yes!
12:55:41	fukawi2	heheh, ace
12:55:50	fukawi2	any other general business?
12:56:10	faelar_as	FluxBB update
12:56:31	fukawi2	benefits?
12:57:00	faelar_as	"Easily translated (v1.4 supports UTF-8)"
12:57:20	fukawi2	I HATE that the new version doesn't have the BBcode buttons anymore >:-(
12:57:31	ras0ir	argh yes
12:57:47	faelar_as	Yes but I think it will be resolved soon enough
12:57:55	fukawi2	linux is supposed to be able being lazy and saving typing... that goes complete'y against the ethos everybody's waiting for it
12:57:56	faelar_as	(Maybe I can look at it, I've not done anything in php since... ouch !)
12:58:38	faelar_as	

ArchServer Meeting Minutes
15th August 2010 11.00am UTC

Time (UTC)	Nick	Message
12:58:43	fukawi2	lol
12:58:52	fukawi2	let's wait until that's fixed before we upgrade
12:59:13	faelar_as	PLus you'll be able to put my wonderfull theme on it :p
12:59:21	ras0ir	:p
12:59:26	faelar_as	http://faelar.mine.nu/dev/AS-Forum/index.php
12:59:28	lord-taco	http://faelar.mine.nu/dev/AS-Forum/index.php = "My FluxBB forum"
12:59:59	ras0ir	pretty :)
13:00:10	fukawi2	looks nice :)
13:00:49	faelar_as	Only the the header file has been changed
13:01:12	fukawi2	OT: has anyone heard from JT recently? carbon64 has been down for weeks, and haven't heard from him....
13:01:27	faelar_as	nop
13:01:31	ras0ir	no :(
13:01:47	tripleM	fukawi2: wanted to ask the same.
13:02:04	fukawi2	hmmmm, I'll see what happens
13:02:06	tripleM	last time i have heard from him was during the short discussion between you and him about carbon
13:02:20	fukawi2	same -- that was the last time it was down
13:02:28	tripleM	probably drop him a short note, that we miss him?
13:02:47	fukawi2	yeah, I sent him an email but didn't get a reply.... will send another one
13:03:18	faelar_as	http://fluxbb.org/resources/mods/easy-bbcode/
13:03:20	lord-taco	http://fluxbb.org/resources/mods/easy-bbcode/ = "Easy BBCode - FluxBB"
13:03:31	faelar_as	I'll install it and see if it works
13:03:33	fukawi2	faelar -- I got an e-mail note from "cipher" earlier offering assistance with the web design, particularly with iphone/ipad css etc.... I'll reply and CC you
13:04:01	faelar_as	Sure :)
13:04:16	fukawi2	last call for general business?
13:04:49	faelar_as	nop
13:04:53	tripleM	no
13:05:05	fukawi2	well, thank-you one and thank-you all :D
13:05:09	fukawi2	!close-meeting